

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,377	03/04/2002	Robert A. Hard	99162	9965
7590 02/05/2004		EXAMINER		
Martha Ann Finnegan, Esq.			BOS, STEVEN J	
Cabot Corporation 157 Concord Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Billerica, MA 01821-7001			1754	

DATE MAILED: 02/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W. Carlotte	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/091,377	HARD, ROBERT A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven Bos	1754				
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet	t with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) N y will, by statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered tirnely. #ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on					
	cu on 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the pract						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-12 and 14-19</u> is/are allowed.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-12 and 14-19</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,7,20 and 23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-,2,0,7,20 and 23</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5,13,21 and 22</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict						
Application Papers	·	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abey	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected t	by the Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action		ot received.				
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or domestic priority under 35 U.S.					
a) The translation of the foreign lar						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim f reference was included in the first sen						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interviev	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P	PTO-948) 5) Notice of	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 01302004				

Application/Control Number: 10/091,377

Art Unit: 1754

It is noted that the parentheses in claim 18 are confusing and should be deleted and the claim language suitably rephrased to account for such deletion.

Claim 13 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 8 from which claim 13 depends requires that the copper compound is added during the digestion however claim 13 recites that the copper compound is added prior to the digestion which broadens the scope of claim 8.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,6,7,20,23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Canada 1179509. See pp. 6,7 and examples 1,4,5. The taught minerals are equivalent to the instantly claimed ore and the taught leaching is functionally equivalent to the instantly claimed digestion.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/091,377

Art Unit: 1754

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2,6,7,20,23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Canada 1179509.

CA '509 may differ in that ore and digestion may not be recited and the amounts of copper compound added may only overlap that instantly claimed.

The taught minerals are equivalent to the instantly claimed ore and the taught leaching is functionally equivalent to the instantly claimed digestion.

The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the overlapping portion of the range disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness, In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is 571-272-1350. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8AM-6PM but is on increased flexitime sch.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1754

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1700.

sjb